



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/043,998	01/11/2002	Vitaliy S. Fain	FS-101	8102
27769	7590	06/27/2007	EXAMINER	
AKC PATENTS 215 GROVE ST. NEWTON, MA 02466			SMITS, TALIVALDIS IVARS	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2626		
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		06/27/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/043,998	FAIN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Talivaldis Ivars Smits	2626

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 March 2007.
- 2a) This action is FINAL.                    2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-5,9-13 and 17-19 is/are pending in the application.
  - 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-5,9-13, and 17-19 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
    - a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:
      1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
      2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
      3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)          | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.                                     |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.   | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____.                         |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114***

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 3/5/2007 has been entered.

### ***Response to Arguments***

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to art-rejections of independent claims 1 and 9 (as amended) and 17, 18, and 19 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection, now also using Thelen *et al.* (US 6,526,380).

Applicant's amendment to claims 18 and 19 have overcome their 101 rejection.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2626

4. Claims 1-4, 9-12 and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Junqua (US 6,598,018) in view of Thelen *et al.* (US 6,526,380).

As to claim 1, Junqua teaches:

receiving a symbolic representation of a free continuous speech natural language utterance; parsing said symbolic representation of said free continuous speech natural language utterance into parsed information (a natural language interface where the input natural language is processed by a speech recognizer and supplied to a natural language parser, col. 1, lines 55-65 and col. 2, lines 12-18);

entering said parsed information into a computer instruction generator, wherein said computer instruction generator is adapted to receive inputs from a context sensitive subject area dictionary system, a context sensitive program module subdictionary system, a context sensitive argument subdictionary system and a context value subdictionary system and wherein said context sensitive subject area dictionary system comprises data organized in a plurality of subject areas, said context sensitive program module subdictionary system comprises data organized in a plurality of program modules for each of said subject areas, said context sensitive argument subdictionary system comprise data organized in a plurality of arguments for each of said program modules and said context sensitive value subdictionary system comprises data organized in a plurality of values for reach of said arguments (entering parsed data to create computer instructions, where the computer instructions are based on the subject area (what to control, the audio or directions), what device (navigation system, radio, cd

Art Unit: 2626

player, GPS, tape deck, or compact disk player), what command to carry out (get directions, change cd's, change volume), and how to carry out the command (directions to a point, cd to change to, what volume to change to), where each of the devices has its own context module set to control that device, having specific rules for specific functions of that device, col. 2, lines 24-43 and col. 5, lines 3-35);

determining, by accessing said context sensitive subject area dictionary system , a subject identifier, for a subject area of said parsed information (accessing the context of each of the systems to determine the subject area of the parsed information to be carried out, col. 2, lines 24-43);

determining, by accessing said context sensitive program module subdictionary system a module identifier for a program module of said subject area based upon the determined subject area identifier and the parsed information (based on the subject found and the parsed information, determining which system to command, col. 2, lines 24-43);

determining by accessing said context sensitive argument subdictionary system, an argument identifier for an argument of said program module based upon the determined identifier and the parsed information (determining what action to carry out based on the context module of the selected system, col. 2, lines 24-43);

determining, by accessing said context sensitive value subdictionary system, a value identifier for a value of said argument based upon the determined argument identifier and the parsed information (determining how to carry out the action, to what extent, col. 2, lines 24-43); and

producing computer instructions based upon the subject area identifier such that the free continuous speech natural language utterance is processed by the computer (creating computer instructions once the natural language input is received, col. 2, lines 1-22).

Junqua does not teach using a hierarchically organized context-sensitive dictionary system. However, Thelen *et al.* teach hierarchically arranged speech recognition models, going from a more generic context to models with a more specific context (col. 8, line 54 – col. 9, line 1 with Figure 4, elements 420, 422, and 424 or elements 430, 432 and 424).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of invention to have Junqua's context-sensitive dictionary system be hierarchically-organized, so as to not to have to search the entire speech recognition vocabulary but invoke the more specific models only if the more generic model gives unsatisfactory results, as Thelen *et al.* imply (col. 9, lines 12-17).

As to claims 9, 17, 18 and 19, Junqua teaches:

a computer readable medium comprising a set of program instructions (a natural language interface within an automobile system, where it would be inherent that the automobile system would contain a computer with instructions, since it used to control a navigation and audio system and it also inherently have a memory since it contains the ability to be updated, col. 1, lines 5-10 and col. 2, lines 55-60 and 35-43).

a receiver receiving a symbolic representation of a free continuous speech natural language utterance; a parser parsing said symbolic representation of said free continuous speech natural language utterance into parsed information (a natural language interface where the input natural language is processed by a speech recognizer and supplied to a natural language parser, col. 1, lines 55-65 and col. 2, lines 12-18);

a context sensitive subject area system dictionary system comprising data organized in a plurality of subject areas, wherein said context sensitive a subject area dictionary system is used to determine a subject area identifier for a subject area of said pares information (determining from the context module the subject of the command to be carried out, be it audio or navigational, col. 2, lines 24-43);

a context sensitive program module subdictionary system comprising data organized in a plurality of program modules for each of said subject areas and wherein said context sensitive program module subdictionary system is used to determine a module identifier for a program module of said subject area based upon the determined subject area identifier and the parsed information (determining from the subject and the parsed information what system to carry out the command on, col. 2, lines 24-43);

a context sensitive argument subdictionary system comprising data organized in a plurality of arguments for each of said program modules and where said context sensitive argument subdictionary system is used to determine an argument identifier for an argument of said program module based upon the determined module identifier and the parsed information (arguments are stored specific to each of the system that carry

Art Unit: 2626

out those arguments, and based on the selected system and the parsed information a selected argument is carried out, col. 2, lines 24-43);

a context sensitive value subdictionary system comprising data organized in a plurality of values for each of said arguments and wherein said context sensitive argument subdictionary system is used to determine a value identifier for a value of said argument based upon the determined argument identifier and the parsed information (data organized specific the system within the context module for that system, including how to command that system where, to what extent to change the system (volume or where to get directions to) is based on the context module and the parsed information, col. 2, lines 23-44); and

computer instructions produced based upon the subject area identifier such that the free continuous speech natural language utterance is processed by the computer (creating computer instructions once the natural language input is received, col. 2, lines 1-22).

Junqua does not teach using a hierarchically organized context-sensitive dictionary system. However, Thelen *et al.* teach hierarchically arranged speech recognition models, going from a more generic context to models with a more specific context (col. 8, line 54 – col. 9, line 1 with Figure 4, elements 420, 422, and 424 or elements 430, 432, and 424).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of invention to have Junqua's context-sensitive dictionary system be hierarchically-organized, so as to not to have to search the entire speech recognition vocabulary but

invoke the more specific models only if the more generic model gives unsatisfactory results, as Thelen *et al.* imply (col. 9, lines 12-17).

As to claims 2 and 10, Junqua teaches said subject area comprise a plurality of sub-subject areas and the context sensitive system subject area dictionary system further comprise a context sensitive sub-subject area subdictionary for each of said sub-subjects areas (the context modules have a plurality of sub-subject areas including an audio subject, and the sub-subjects being cd player, cassette player or the radio, col. 2, lines 24-43).

As to claims 3 and 11, Junqua teaches a value identifier further comprises querying the computer system for a missing value identifier (Fig. 3b element 106).

As to claims 4 and 12, Junqua teaches: wherein determining a subject area identifier further comprises querying a user of the computer system for a missing subject area identifier; determining a module identifier further comprises querying a user of the computer system for a missing module identifier; and determining a value identifier further comprises querying a user of the computer system for a missing value identifier (if there are any missing slots that are not filled, the user is queried to supply this information, Fig. 3b, elements 94, 101, 102, 104, 106 and 108).

Art Unit: 2626

5. Claims 5 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Junqua in view of Thelen *et al.* as applied to claims 1 and 9 above, and further in view of Polcyn (6,246,989).

Junqua and Thelen *et al.* do not teach wherein, determining a subject area identifier further comprises using a previously determined value for a missing subject area identifier, determining a module identifier further comprises using a previously determined value for a missing module identifier, nor determining a value identifier further comprises using a previously determined value for a missing value identifier.

However, Polcyn teaches receiving a natural language command from a user, and understanding the command to carry out a particular action, by determining a subject, action to be taken and argument values. Furthermore, Polcyn teaches a system that is able to determine from previous values, command information that is not understood or is missing from the current natural language input (col. 7, lines 30-40).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the methods of Junqua and Thelen *et al.* with the teachings of Polcyn to allow a system to be updatable to contain new reference command information, as taught by Polcyn (col. 7, lines 38-40).

***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Talivaldis Ivars Smits whose telephone number is 571-272-7628. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richemond Dorvil can be reached on 571-272-7602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.



TALIVALDIS IVARS SMITS  
PRIMARY EXAMINER